

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

Cer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/646,009  | 08/22/2003  | Kevin W. Schneider   | 710101.1060         | 8965             |  |
| <sup>24504</sup> 7590 11/28/2007<br>THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP<br>600 GALLERIA PARKWAY |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | SHAND, ROBERTA A    |                  |  |
| STE 1500<br>ATLANTA, G  | A 30339     | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
| rii Erii viri, O  | 11 30337    | 557                  | 2616                |                  |  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |             |                      | 11/28/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Applio   | cation No.   | Applicant(s)  |         |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|---------|--|--|--|
|   |  | 6,009  | SCHNEIDER ET AL.  |         |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summar  | Y Exami  | iner   | Art Unit  |         |  |  |  |
|   | Robert   | ta A. Shand  | 2616  |         |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this con  | nmunication appears on   | the cover sheet w  | vith the correspondence a   | ddress  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |  |  | 40.1T.1.40.40.T.1.10T.4.44  | aa\     |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TO Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the silling date of this of the silling date of | HE MAILING DATE OF visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no s communication. The main statutory period will apply are reply will, by statute, cause the conths after the mailing date of this | THIS COMMUN<br>o event, however, may a<br>nd will expire SIX (6) MO<br>e application to become A | ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this abandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133). |         |  |  |  |
| Status  |  | ٠  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(   | s) filed on <u>22 August 20</u>  | <u>003</u> .   |   |         |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in cond  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the p   | practice under Ex parte  | Quayle, 1935 C.I   | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |         |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in  | the application.   |  | •   |         |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s)   | _ is/are withdrawn from  | consideration.   |   |         |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27,29 and 30</u> is/are   | rejected.  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) 28 is/are objected to.  |  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to r  | estriction and/or election   | n requirement.   |   |         |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to  | by the Examiner.   |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is   | s/are: a) accepted or  | r b) Objected to   | by the Examiner.  |         |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any  | objection to the drawing   | (s) be held in abeya   | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |         |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc  | <del>-</del>   |  | = : :   | • •     |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objec   | ted to by the Examiner.  | . Note the attache   | a Office Action or form P   | 10-152. |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  | •   |         |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a call a) All b) Some * c) None   |  | under 35 U.S.C.  | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |         |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the pr   | iority documents have t  | peen received.   |   | •       |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the pr   | =  |  | · ·   |         |  |  |  |
|   | •  |  | n received in this Nationa  | l Stage |  |  |  |
| application from the Inter * See the attached detailed Office   | •  | , ,,   | t received  |         |  |  |  |
| ood the attached detailed office  |  | crimed copies no   | t rootived.   |         |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |   |         |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   |  | 4) Interview   | Summary (PTO-413)   |         |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev  |  | Paper No   | o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application  |         |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/22/2003</u>.</li> </ol>  | DIVO)  | 6)  Other:   |   |         |  |  |  |

10/646,009 Art Unit: 2616

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-18, 20-27, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior arts in view of Darveau (U.S. 6236726 B1).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, the admitted prior art teaches (fig. 1) a communication system, comprising: at least one central office transceiver (22); at least one intermediate terminal transceiver (36); a feeder distribution interface (33) coupled to the transceivers; at least two customer transceivers (31) coupled through the feeder distribution interface (33) to the at least one central office transceiver (22) and to the at least one intermediate terminal transceiver (36).
- 4. The admitted prior art does not teach logic configured to estimate a distance of a data path between the intermediate terminal transceiver and one of the customer transceivers, the logic further configured to adjust, based on the estimated distance, a power output of the at least one intermediate terminal transceiver in order to maintain a specified performance margin of the at least one central office transceiver.
- 5. Darveau teaches (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2) estimating a distance of a data path between the intermediate terminal transceiver and one of the customer transceivers, the logic further configured to adjust (col. 2, lines 40-56), based on the estimated distance, a power output of the at least one intermediate terminal transceiver in order to maintain a specified performance

10/646,009

Art Unit: 2616

margin of the at least one central office transceiver. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the rat to adapt Darveau's adjusting step to the admitted prior art to avoid data corruption (abstract).

- 6. Regarding claim 2, Darveau teaches (fig. 2) the logic resides within the intermediate terminal transceiver.
- 7. Regarding claims 3, 10 and 17, Darveau teaches (col. 10, lines 3-26) adjust the power output equally across a range of frequencies is reduced equally.
- 8. Regarding claims 4, 11 and 18, Darveau teaches (col. 10, lines 3-26) to adjust the power output of the at least one intermediate terminal differently for different frequencies.
- 9. Regarding claims 5, 12, 21 and 24, Darveau teaches (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2) a communication device configured to automatically provide the at least one intermediate terminal transceiver with data indicative of an approximate distance between the at least one intermediate terminal transceiver and the feeder distribution interface, wherein the logic is further configured to adjust the power output of the at least one intermediate terminal transceiver based on the approximate distance.
- 10. Regarding claims 6, 8, 26, 29 and 30, Darveau teaches (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2) automatically provide the at least one intermediate terminal transceiver with data indicative of an

approximate distance between the at least one central office transceiver and the feeder distribution interface, and wherein the logic is further configured to adjust the power output of the at least one intermediate terminal transceiver based on the approximate distance between the at least one central office transceiver and the feeder distribution interface.

Page 4

- 11. Regarding claim 7, the admitted prior art teaches (fig. 1) a data communication system having central office transceivers (25) residing at a central office (22) and intermediate terminal transceivers (38) residing at an intermediate terminal (36), the central office (22) and intermediate terminal transceivers coupled through a feeder distribution interface (33) to customer transceivers.
- 12. The admitted prior art does not teach means for determining distances between the transceivers and the feeder distribution interface; and power reduction means for automatically reducing a transmission power of at least one of the intermediate terminal transceivers, based on the determined distances, in order to maintain a specified performance margin for the central office transceivers.
- Darveau teaches (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2) means for determining distances between the transceivers and the feeder distribution interface; and power reduction means for automatically reducing a transmission power (col. 2, lines 40-56) of at least one of the intermediate terminal transceivers, based on the determined distances, in order to maintain a specified performance margin for the central office transceivers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Darveau's adjusting step to the admitted prior art to avoid data corruption (abstract).

10/646,009 Art Unit: 2616

- 14. Regarding claim 9, the admitted prior art teaches (fig. 1) a system for communicating between transceivers, comprising: a transmitter configured to transmit signals to a customer transceiver (31) over a first communication connection that is bound within a binder (page 2, paragraph 4).
- 15. The admitted prior art does not teach logic configured to estimate a distance of a data path between the transmitter and the customer transceiver based on at least one signal communicated over the data path, the logic further configured to adjust a transmission power level of the transmitter based on the estimated distance such that signals transmitted by the transmitter to the customer transceiver are spectrally compatible with signals transmitted from another transceiver over a second communication connection.
- Darveau teaches (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2) estimate a distance of a data path between the transmitter and the customer transceiver based on at least one signal communicated over the data path, the logic further configured to adjust (col. 2, lines 40-56) a transmission power level of the transmitter based on the estimated distance such that signals transmitted by the transmitter to the customer transceiver are spectrally compatible with signals transmitted from another transceiver over a second communication connection. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Darveau's adjusting step to the admitted prior art to avoid data corruption (abstract).
- 17. Regarding claims 13 and 22, Darveau teaches (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2) a receiver configured to receive at least one signal transmitted from the customer transceiver over the data

10/646,009 Art Unit: 2616

path, wherein the logic is configured to estimate the distance based on the at least one received signal.

- 18. Regarding claim 14, Darveau teaches (fig. 2) the first and second communication connections are coupled to a feeder distribution interface, wherein the logic and the transmitter reside within a transceiver installed at an intermediate terminal.
- 19. Regarding claim 15, Darveau teaches (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2) the system further comprises a communication device that is configured to provide, to the logic, data indicative of a distance between the intermediate terminal and the feeder distribution interface, and wherein the logic is further configured to determine a transmission power level for the transmitter based on the data and the estimated distance
- 20. Regarding claim 16, the admitted prior art teaches (fig. 1) a communication method, comprising the steps of establishing a communication session between a first transceiver (22) and a second transceiver (31); communicating, during a training phase of the communication session, at least one signal between the first and second transceivers over a first communication connection that is bound via a binder (33),
- 21. The admitted prior art does not teach transmitting at least one signal from the first transceiver at a default power level; estimating a distance of a data path between the first and second transceivers based on at least one signal communicated in the communicating step; adjusting a transmission power level for the first transceiver based on the estimated distance such

10/646,009 Art Unit: 2616

that signals transmitted by the first transceiver over the data path at the adjusted transmission power level are spectrally compatible with signals transmitted by another transceiver over a second communication connection; and transmitting at least one signal from the first transceiver at the adjusted transmission power level during a data phase of the communication session.

- 22. Darveau teaches (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2) transmitting at least one signal from the first transceiver at a default power level; estimating a distance of a data path between the first and second transceivers based on at least one signal communicated in the communicating step; adjusting (col. 2, lines 40-56) a transmission power level for the first transceiver based on the estimated distance such that signals transmitted by the first transceiver over the data path at the adjusted transmission power level are spectrally compatible with signals transmitted by another transceiver over a second communication connection; and transmitting at least one signal from the first transceiver at the adjusted transmission power level during a data phase of the communication session (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Darveau's adjusting step to the admitted prior art to avoid data corruption (abstract).
- 23. Regarding claim 20, the admitted prior art teaches (fig. 1) a method for providing spectrum management in a data communication system having central office transceivers (25) and intermediate terminal transceivers (38) coupled through a feeder distribution interface (33) to customer transceivers,
- 24. The prior art does not teach automatically determining at least one distance between the transceivers and the feeder distribution interface; and automatically adjusting, based on the

determined distance, a transmission power of at least one of the intermediate terminal transceivers in order to maintain a specified performance margin for the central office transceivers.

- 25. Darveau teaches (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2) automatically determining at least one distance between the transceivers and the feeder distribution interface; and automatically adjusting (col. 2, lines 40-56), based on the determined distance, a transmission power of at least one of the intermediate terminal transceivers in order to maintain a specified performance margin for the central office transceivers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Darveau's adjusting step to the admitted prior art to avoid data corruption (abstract).
- 26. Regarding claim 23, the admitted prior art teaches (fig. 1 and abstract) a method of maintaining specified performance margins in a data communication system having central office transceivers (25) and intermediate terminal transceivers (38) coupled through a feeder distribution interface (33) to customer transceivers.
- 27. The admitted prior art does not teach providing a table of power back-off values for adjusting transmission power levels of the intermediate terminal transceivers in order to maintain performance margins of the central office transceivers, wherein the power back-off values are functions of distances between the transceivers and the feeder distribution interface; automatically determining distances between the intermediate terminal transceivers and the customer transceivers based on signals communicated between the intermediate terminal transceivers and the customer transceivers; and adjusting, based on the determined distances, the transmission power levels of the customer transceivers in accordance with the values in the table.

10/646,009 Art Unit: 2616

- 28. Darveau teaches (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2) providing a table of power back-off values for adjusting transmission power levels of the intermediate terminal transceivers in order to maintain performance margins of the central office transceivers, wherein the power back-off values are functions of distances between the transceivers and the feeder distribution interface; automatically determining distances between the intermediate terminal transceivers and the customer transceivers based on signals communicated between the intermediate terminal transceivers and the customer transceivers; and adjusting (col. 2, lines 40-56), based on the determined distances, the transmission power levels of the customer transceivers in accordance with the values in the table. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Darveau's adjusting step to the admitted prior art to avoid data corruption (abstract).
- 29. Regarding claim 25, the admitted prior art teaches (fig. 1) a method for reducing crosstalk in a data communication system having central office transceivers (25) residing at a central office (22) and intermediate terminal transceivers (38) residing at an intermediate terminal (36), the central office transceivers (38) and intermediate terminal transceivers (25) coupled through a feeder distribution interface (33) to customer transceivers (31),
- 30. The admitted prior art does not teach storing values indicative of an approximate distance between the central office and the feeder distribution interface and of an approximate distance between the intermediate terminal and the feeder distribution interface; automatically determining values indicative of approximate distances between the intermediate terminal transceivers and the customer transceivers; and reducing transmission power levels at all frequencies in the intermediate terminal transceivers in accordance with a power back-off

10/646,009 Art Unit: 2616

algorithm, wherein the power back-off algorithm is responsive to the stored and determined values.

- 31. Darveau teaches (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2) storing values indicative of an approximate distance between the central office and the feeder distribution interface and of an approximate distance between the intermediate terminal and the feeder distribution interface; automatically determining values indicative of approximate distances between the intermediate terminal transceivers and the customer transceivers; and reducing (col. 2, lines 40-56) transmission power levels at all frequencies in the intermediate terminal transceivers in accordance with a power back-off algorithm, wherein the power back-off algorithm is responsive to the stored and determined values. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Darveau's adjusting step to the admitted prior art to avoid data corruption (abstract).
- 32. Regarding claim 27, the admitted prior art teaches (fig. 1) a communication method, comprising the steps of transmitting a signal from at least one intermediate terminal transceiver (36) through a cable to a customer transceiver (31), the cable coupled to a feeder distribution interface (33) that is coupled to the at least one intermediate terminal transceiver (36) and at least one central office transceiver (22), the cable propagating at least one signal transmitted from the at least one central office transceiver (22).
- 33. The admitted prior art does not teach automatically adjusting a power output of the at least one intermediate terminal transceiver such that a specified performance margin of the at least one central office transceiver is maintained; and estimating a distance between the at least

one intermediate terminal transceiver and the customer transceiver, wherein the adjusting is further based on the estimated distance.

- 34. Darveau teaches (col. 2, lines 40-56) automatically adjusting a power output of the at least one intermediate terminal transceiver such that a specified performance margin of the at least one central office transceiver is maintained; and estimating a distance (col. 9, line 60 col. 10, line 2) between the at least one intermediate terminal transceiver and the customer transceiver, wherein the adjusting is further based on the estimated distance. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Darveau's adjusting step to the admitted prior art to avoid data corruption (abstract).
- 35. Claim 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Darveau (U.S. 6236726 B1) and further in view of Terry (U.S. 6339613 B2).
- 36. As mentioned above, the admitted prior art and Darveau teach all of the limitations of claim 16.
- 37. Although Darveau teaches sending distance information. The admitted prior art and Darveau do not teach installing new transceivers.
- 38. Terry teaches (col. 12, lines 47-55) installing new devices and adjusting the power spectral densities to reduce crosstalk. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt installation of new devices taught by Terry to the admitted prior art and Darveau to provide a method that can permit new communications systems to be added to existing communications paths in a manner that is generally compatible with existing systems where these exist, and that can make optimum use of communications capacity (col. 2, lines 26-31).

## Allowable Subject Matter

39. Claim 28 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## **Conclusion**

- 40. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberta A. Shand whose telephone number is 571-272-3161. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am-5:30pm.
- 41. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Roberta A Shand Examiner Art Unit 2616

HUY D. VU

well

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600